Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	narv	10/709,206	COOK, CHARLES	
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jermie Cozart	3726	
All Participants:		Status of Applicat	on: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .		(3)		
(2) Steven Hays.		(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2006</u>		Time: <u>12:05pm</u>		
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
1-12, 22, 24, 25, 26, 29, and 30				
Prior art documents discussed: Waterford (US 2004/0081771 A1)				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WH	AT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie 	cation. The	e examiner will provide record of the substance	e a written summary of the sul e of the interview, since the in	bstance
. •				
,				
·	···			_
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Represen	tative Signature – if appropriat	e)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Steven Hays, the Examiner informed Mr. Hays about the newly discovered US Patent Application Publication to Waterford, and Waterford's disclosure of a plastic sleeve coupled around each modular base structure. The Examiner proprosed a combination with Prevost in light of the teachings of Waterford in order to obviate at least claim 29. In response, Mr. Hays agreed to cancel non-elected claims 1-11, amend claims 12, 24, 25, 26, 29, and to incorporate the subject matter of claim 30 into claim 29 then canceling claim 30, all of which will be performed by examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.